

Town of Sheffield Planning Board Town Hall – 21 Depot Square Sheffield, Massachusetts 01257

Voice: 413-229-7000 Fax: 413-229-7010 TTY: 800-439-2370

July 13, 2022
7:00 PM
Virtual Zoom Meeting
Join Zoom Meeting

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84110271908?pwd=dThrM3N3RFBLVDJuaS9jamNJVFILdz09 Meeting ID: 841 1027 1908 Passcode: 102517 Dial by your location 929 205 6099

The following agenda items are for review and possible action:

- 1. ANR Requests
- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Discussion Regarding Berkshire Regional Planning Commission
- 4. Discussion/Action Regarding Dark Sky Bylaw
- 5. Discussion/Possible Action Regarding Deliberation Guide
- 6. Discussion Regarding Zoning Bylaws and the Housing Production Plan
- 7. Review Mail
- 8. Board Member Items
- 9. Public Comment

DRAFT

Planning Board Minutes June 22, 2022 7:00 pm

Town Hall Upstairs Meeting Room

Members present: George Oleen, Chair

Robbie Cooper

Sari Hoy

Ken Smith (arrived at approximately 7:15pm)

Members absent: Caitlin Marsden McNeill

Others present: Rene Wood, Bob Kilmer, Rhonda LaBombard, Jill Hughes

Chairman Oleen called the meeting to order at 7:00PM.

- Meeting with Select Board Please refer to the minutes drafted by Jill for content from this portion of the meeting. The Select Board adjourned their joint meeting with the PB at 8:56pm.
- 2. ANR Requests: None
- 3. Approval of Minutes: Meeting minutes from June 8th were approved unanimously as presented.
- 4. Dark Skies Bylaw Discussion: Item was tabled due to the lateness of the meeting
- 5. Planning Board Mail Review: George indicated there was no mail
- 6. Board Member Items:

No board member items, but we all felt the meeting with the SB was good and we feel it should be done yearly.

7. Public Inquiries: None

Sari made a motion, seconded by Ken to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:07pm.

Respectfully submitted by:

Ken Smith

Deliberation Guide

Applicant:;	
Use sought:	
(Note: As this is a guide, it may not be complete. Cross out what does not ag in what is missing)	pply and add
The board, which is also the Special Permit Granting Authority (SPGA), be deliberations during a regular business meeting on members were present. (ALL members who heard testimony present.)	
The board established the following information:	
Name of Applicant:	A
Address of Applicant:	
Purpose of Special Permit:	
Per By-Law Section:	
The property, which is the subject of this special permit application, is locate address of	
referred to on Tax Map No, Block & Lot, Book	
The Special Permit application was dated, stamped by t	he Town
Clerk on and accepted by the Board on, as cas	e #
. The property is located in the	District.
The applicant requested a Special Permit under Sectionso	f the Town of
Sheffield Zoning By-Laws for the purpose of	
The applicant(s) and their representative,	presented
the application and oral presentations to the Board at a public hearing on	
atPM.	
Notices of Public Hearing on this Special Permit were made as follows: 1. Notices of the public hearing were published in The Berkshire Ea	gle, a daily

newspaper of general circulation in the Town of Sheffield, in editions as

Planning Board Deliberation Guide; Page 1 of 12

				issue and		
	issue.					
	2. Notice	was posted in a o	conspicuous	place in the She	effield Town l	Hall at least
	14 days be	efore the public h	earing on		at	PM.
	days befor owners of public stre to the Plan	of Public Hearing, to land directly opposet or way as supposing Boards of the Alford be replaced.	the applican posite from the plied by the the he abutting to	t, abutters to the ne property in quown assessors (owns of Great E	e property in ouestion on an Certified Abu Barrington, Al	question, y private or tter List and lford
	Selectmen	of Public Hearing, Fire Department Conservation C	it, Highway l	Department, Pol	lice Departme	ent, Board of
	Notice was	s dated	a	nd distributed o	n the same da	ite.
Also id	lentified at	the		_deliberations	were:	
Docum	nentation en	tered into the pu	blic record.	(list all docume	ents)	
•	Special Per	rmit application	and cover let	ter and all docu	ments submit	ted with the
	initial appl	ication dated		including		
	and site pla	an; received		_ and accepted	3	•
•						
•						
•						
•		tters from abutter aspector, Attorne	,	•	, committees,	etc., the
The bo	ard noted th	nat the Special Pe	ermit hearing	g began on	a	nd was
closed	on		with continu	uations of the he	earing held or	ı

	iewed that SPGA members present during all of public hearings were:				
	If any board members were absent, note this as follows:				
	was not present at the hearing				
Section 23 all eviden	but per town adoption of MGL Chapter 39, 3D, listened to the audiotape of the public hearing, and if applicable examined ce received at the hearing, and certified as such in writing, which allowed him rate in the hearing continuations and deliberations.				
	embers were present during deliberations.				
The board	reviewed public testimony and the documents submitted during the hearing:				
List all Fi	indings:				
1.	owns the property located at				
	in the, District. The site has,				
	building(s) with the following size:				
2.					
3.	·				

If applicable to the special permit sought, list findings regarding site plan submitted, parking and loading requirements, landscaping, signage, lighting, etc. If Alternative Lot Dimension, list finding relative to those requirements.

All Special Permits must have questions from Section 9.4.2.2 answered below. Specific questions can be found below for the following Special Permit types:

Section 7.1: Personal Wireless Service Facilities (7.1.10)

Section 7.5: Adult Use Marijuana Establishments (7.5.7)

Section 8.3: Water Supply Protection District (WSPD) (8.3.9)

Section 9.4.2 Decision:

Section 9.4.2.2, states that a Special Permit shall be granted only upon the board's written determination that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to that site.

During its deliberations and determination, the board reviewed all the evidence, documents and all testimony presented against each of the following and found:

•	9.4.2.2.1: The Social, economic or community needs which may be served by the proposed use. Does the SPGA find that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to the social, economic, or community needs which may be served by the proposed use? YES or NO by a vote.
	Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
•	9.4.2.2.2: Traffic impact, flow and safety, parking and loading and accommodation to pedestrian and non-automotive transportation. Does the SPGA find that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to traffic impact, flow and safety, parking and loading and accommodation to pedestrian and non-automotive transportation? YES or NO by a vote.
	Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
•	 9.4.2.2.3: Adequacy of utilities and other public services. Does the SPGA find that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to adequacy of utilities and other public services? YES or NO by a vote.
	Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

• 9.4.2.2.4: Appropriateness to the proposed location, the neighborhood character and town land use objectives.

Does the SPGA find that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to appropriateness to the proposed location, the neighborhood character and the town land use objectives?

YES	or	NO	by	a	vote

Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

9.4.2.2.5: Environmental impacts, including, but not limited to, visual effects, noise, odor, dust, vibration, fumes, smoke, light intrusion, glare, impacts on natural habitats, views, water pollution, erosion, and sedimentation.
Does the SPGA find that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to environmental impacts, including, but not limited to, visual effects, noise, odor, dust, vibration, fumes, smoke, light intrusion, glare, impacts on natural habitats, views, water pollution, erosion and sedimentation?

YES or NO by a	vote
----------------	------

Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

• 9.4.2.2.6: Potential fiscal impact, including impact on town services, tax base and employment.

Does the SPGA find that the beneficial effects of the proposed use outweigh any potential adverse impacts to the town or neighborhood as it applies to the particular characteristics of the site and in relation to that site.

YES or NO	bv a	vote.
-----------	------	-------

Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

Conditions to Attach to Special Permit: Any special permit conditions to attach? If CONDITIONS ATTACHED, list here in detail as this is the way they will appear on the Special Permit.

Waivers to Attach to Special Permit: Any to review? Any requested? If WAIVERS GRANTED, list here in detail as this is the way they will appear on the Special Permit.

Continue deliberations below for the following Special Permit types:

Section 7.1: Personal Wireless Service Facilities (7.1.10)

Section 7.5: Adult Use Marijuana Establishments (7.5.7)
Section 8.3: Water Supply Protection District (WSPD) (8.3.9)

If this Special Permit if for anything other than these, proceed to the Final Vote.

Final Vote:		
In keeping with its authority	, on	during its normal business
meeting, the SPGA voted in		_
Kenneth Smith, Chairman:	GRANT / DENY	
George Oleen:	GRANT / DENY	
Robbie Cooper	GRANT / DENY	
Caitlin Marsden McNeill	GRANT / DENY	
Sari Hoy	GRANT / DENY	
As Alternate, if on hearing,		GRANT / DENY
- C	ed to GRANT / DENY the use over condition(s) and waiver(s,)	1
The board directed	to work with	to complete
the required documentation	within the 14 days after the boa	rd's decision, as required by
law.		

Decision requirements, in addition to Section 9.4.2.2, are as follows:

7.1 Personal Wireless Service Facilities, Repeaters and Towers

The board must review the following items from Section 7.1.10, Approval Criteria.

1. In acting on any application, the Special Permit Granting Authority shall proceed in accordance with the procedures and timelines established for Special Permits as provided in Section 9.4.

Has the SPGA answered the questions in section 9.4.2.2 above? **YES or NO** (SPGA discussion items shown above)

- 2. In addition to the findings required in Section 9.4, the Special Permit Granting Authority shall, in consultation with Independent Consultant(s), make all of the applicable findings before granting the application, as follows:
 - 1. That Applicant is not already providing, and is not able to use any existing towers/Facility sites in or around the town either with or without reasonable adjustments and/or the use of repeaters to provide, adequate coverage and/or adequate capacity to the town and the facilities proposed in the application will provide, or will significantly enhance Applicant's ability to provide, adequate coverage and/or adequate capacity to the town.

Does the SPGA believe section of the By-Law?		has exhausted all options to comply with this
YES or NO by a	vote.	
Board comments to su	pport/detail t	heir findings on this item:

2. That the proposed personal wireless service Facility/tower or repeater will not have an undue adverse impact on historic resources, scenic views, residential property values, natural or man-made resources or the other interests specified in Section 7.1.1.

YES or NO by a	vote.	
property values, natural	or man-made	resources or other interests?
the adverse impacts wit	h regards to hi	storic resources, scenic views, residential
Does the SPGA believe	that beneficia	l effects of the proposed project will outweigh

Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

3. That the applicant has agreed to implement all reasonable measures to mitigate the potential adverse impacts of the proposed personal wireless service Facility/ tower.	
Does the SPGA believe the applicant has taken all measures to mitigate the adverse impacts of the facility / tower?	>
YES or NO by avote.	
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:	
4. That the proposal shall comply with FCC 96-326 and any and all other applicable FCC regulations, regarding emissions of electromagnetic radiation and that the required monitoring program is in place and shall b paid for by the applicant.	e
Does the SPGA believe that the applicant has complies with all FCC regulations wiregards to electromagnetic emissions and required monitoring is in place? YES or NO by avote.	th
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:	
5. That the proposal complies with the other requirements of these By-laws.	
Does the SPGA believe the applicant has complied with other requirements of these By-Laws?	;
YES or NO by avote.	
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:	
When finished with these questions, go back to the Final Vote above.	

Decision requirements, in addition to Section 9.4.2.2, are as follows:

7.5 Adult Use Marijuana Establishments

7.5.7 Decision and Findings for an Adult Use Marijuana Establishment: In addition to the required findings for a Special Permit required pursuant to Section 9.4, and those findings required for Site Plan Approval pursuant to Section 9.5, the Special Permit Granting Authority must also find all the following:

1. The Marijuana Establishment is consistent with and does not deviate from the purposes and intent of Section 7.5, meets the additional requirements / conditions of Section 7.5.4 and all other applicable Sections of the Zoning By-Laws.

Does the SPGA believe the proposed marijuana establishment is complying with the purposes and intent of Section 7.5 and does it meet the additional requirements / conditions of Section 7.5.4 and all other applicable section of the Zoning By-Laws? YES or NO by a vote.
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
2. The Marijuana Establishment is designed to minimize any adverse visual, sensory, or economic impacts on abutters and other parties in interest.
Does the SPGA believe that the proposed marijuana establishment is adequately minimizing the adverse visual, sensory, or economic impacts on abutters and other parties in interest? YES or NO by avote.
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
3. The Marijuana Establishment demonstrates that it meets or exceeds all the permitting requirements of all applicable agencies within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and will be in compliance with all applicable state laws and regulations.
Does the SPGA believe that the marijuana establishment is compliant with all state agencies and applicable state laws and regulations? YES or NO by a vote.
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

4. The applicant / owner has satisfied all of the conditions and requirements of this Section and other applicable Sections of these Zoning By-Laws.
Does the SPGA believe the applicant has satisfied all of the conditions and requirements of this an other section and all sections of the Zoning By-Laws? YES or NO by a vote.
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
5. The Marijuana Establishment provides adequate security measures to ensure that no individual participant will pose a direct threat to the health or safety of other individuals, and that all operations of the facility, including storage, cultivation, and delivery are adequately secured on-site or via delivery.
Does the SPGA believe the applicant is complying with the intent of the safety measures requested? YES or NO by a vote.
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
6. The Marijuana Establishment adequately addresses issues of traffic demand, circulation flow, parking and queuing, particularly at peak periods at the facility, and its impact on neighboring uses, as per Sections 7.5.5.2.12 and 7.5.5.2.16.
Does the SPGA feel the applicant has adequately prepared for the increased traffic demands? YES or NO by a vote.
Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
When finished with these questions, go back to the Final Vote above.

Decision requirements, in addition to Section 9.4.2.2, are as follows:

Section 8.3 Water Supply Protection District (WSPD)

8.3.9 Additional requirements for a Special Permit in the Water Supply Protection District

1. The Planning Board shall follow all Special Permit procedures contained in Section 9.4 of these By-laws. In addition the Planning Board shall distribute copies of all application materials to the Board of Health and the Conservation Commission, each of which shall review the application, and following a vote, shall submit recommendations and comments to the Planning Board. Failure of boards to make recommendations within 20 days of distribution of the application materials shall be deemed to be a lack of opposition. One copy of the application shall be transmitted to the Town Clerk for viewing by the public during office hours.

Has the SPGA provide copies of application to the Board of Health, Conservation Commission and the Town Clerk?

YES or NO

Has the SPGA received recommendations from the Board of Health?

YES or NO

Has the SPGA received recommendations from the Conservation Commission?

YES or NO

Have the SPGA members reviewed and taken into account the recommendations of the Board of Health and Conservation Commission in making their decisions regarding this Special Permit?

YES or NO by a	vote
----------------	------

Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

- 2. The Planning Board may grant the required Special Permit only upon finding that the proposed use meets the following standards and those set forth in Section 9.4 of these By-laws. The proposed use must:
 - 1. Not, during construction or thereafter, adversely affect the existing or potential quality or quantity of water that is available in the Water Supply Protection District.

YES	or N() by a	1	vote.					
water	in the	e Wat	er Suppl	y Protection	District impa	cted by this	applicat	ion?	
Does	the S.	PGA	believe t	the applicant	has accounte	d for the qu	ality and	quantity	of

Board comments to support/detail their findings on this item:

dı	e designed to avoid substantial disturbance of the soils, topography, rainage, vegetation and other water-related natural characteristics of e site to be developed.
Does	the SPGA believe the applicant has taken every measure to protect the site substantial disturbance?
	or NO by a vote.
Board	l comments to support/detail their findings on this item:
the petitic detailed, relation to Does the SPO detailed, defining YES or NO leads to the petition of the petit	ning Board shall not grant a Special Permit under this section unless oner's application materials include, in the Board's opinion, sufficiently definite and credible information to support positive findings in the standards given in this section. A believe the applicant has provided all materials and they are sufficiently nite and credible enough for us to evaluate this project? The project of the support
When finishe	d with these questions, go back to the Final Vote above.

When reviewing the Town of Sheffield Zoning By-Laws (ZBLs), many sections are supportive of housing production, including the following, as stated in Section 3.1.3 Table of Use Regulations.

Housing allowed By-Right:

- Single family dwellings in all Town Districts
- 2- and 3-family dwellings in all Town Districts
- Accessory single-family dwelling unit in the Village Center District by right
- Mobile home as temporary living quarters, for up to 1 year once the building permit is issued, in the Rural District

Housing allowed by Special Permit:

- Multi-family dwelling containing up to 4 dwelling units by Special Permit in the Rural and Village Center Districts
- Multi-family dwelling containing up to 6 dwelling units by Special Permit in the Village Center District
- Boarding House by Special Permit in the Village Center District
- Accessory single-family dwelling unit in the Commercial District by Special Permit
- Accessory single-family dwelling unit in the General Business District by Special Permit
- Accessory apartment in the Rural and Village Center Districts by Special Permit; size allowed by Special Permit is 300 sq. feet minimum and 800 sq. feet maximum

All uses stated above are subject to the Zoning By-Law's dimensional requirements, such as lot size and setbacks while other uses require additional acreage. Please see Section 4.0 Dimensional Requirements for complete information.

With few exceptions, housing uses in the Sheffield Zoning By-Laws have not been reviewed for over a decade. As such, they have not been updated to reflect newer housing concepts such as cluster developments, manufactured homes (certainly no longer fair to call them mobile homes), or even 3-D Printer homes.

Sheffield faces four serious obstacles to housing development, which may be addressed by changes in the current Zoning By-Laws.

- 1. The high price of land and the required acreage for building a housing unit, which runs from a 2-acre lot size in the General Business District to ½ acre in parts of the Village Center District in Sheffield, where a private water company provides water service. The price of land may account for the absence of any 40B application.
- 2. A considerable amount of Sheffield lies in the areas with high water tables. Since Sheffield has no Municipal Septic System, every home needs a septic system. While part of the Sheffield Village Center and beyond (as opposed to the Ashley Falls Village Center) is served by a private water company, most dwelling units in Sheffield also require a private well. Distances between the septic system and the well are carefully regulated by law and frequently these distances require a larger building envelope. (Sheffield has not explored shared septic systems or packets and should do so.) As a result, infill is difficult and even adding an accessory apartment may

- mean upgrading a septic system, along with concerns about water availability and flow from a current well.
- 3. The lack of any public transportation in Sheffield. While the Senior Center provides transportation during the week to Seniors, if one is to own a home or rent in Sheffield, one needs their own transportation. This lack of public transport may be limiting buildable areas.
- 4. The lack of builders or developers interested in building housing in the current market unless it is building a new luxury private home or renovations. With the Pandemic, Sheffield, which has always been an attraction to 2nd homeowners given its proximity to major metropolitan markets, has seen a steep rise in home prices, as well an increase in new luxury home building and renovations.

Going forward in implementing the Town's Housing Production Plan, the following recommendations are made:

- A complete review of the Zoning By-Laws with the goal of identifying all obstacles to
 "affordable" "workforce" or just "buyable" housing. This review should include dimensional
 requirements, including building height and extra requirements for anything other than a single
 dwelling unit; lot size acreage requirements in all four Districts; review of housing options
 available in each District, whether by right or Special Permit, and whether these continue to be
 viable.
- Identify where housing in-fill opportunities may exist.
- Suggested options to bring non-conforming homes/housing units into conformance. Are there patterns or clusters of such units in certain areas of Town, like the two Village Centers?
- Understand the potential, as well as limits and costs, of new septic systems/packets for in-fill
 housing or multiple-unit housing; septic upgrades to accommodate an accessory apartment or
 dwelling unit and costs; and the costs of extending private water company service, as well as
 hooking two dwelling units to a single private well.
- Identify housing development concepts, such as clustered housing and community land trust, which are currently not listed in Sheffield's Zoning By-Laws, evaluate their potential, and develop appropriate implementation strategies. One such example is Detroit's starter homes construction and management.
- Explore what over rural communities, here in MA and elsewhere, are doing to meet housing crises, like Sheffield's.
- Evaluate recent housing types or construction techniques, from new manufactured homes placed on a concrete slab to homes being built through 3-D Printers.
- Identify obstacles in the State's building code, which may be preventing new construction of a variety of housing types, if any, and begin a State House lobbying process for their removal.
- Understand MASS housing construction and rehabilitation initiatives, as well as grant funding to accomplish same. Identify funding sources, develop a grant writing timeframe, and identify resources to write the grants.

Sheffield's Zoning By-Laws are only a portion of moving forward in implementing more housing types and options for Sheffield, and they represent opportunities as well as current obstacles. Only through a complete review, will such obstacles be identified. This is the first step in changing obstacles to opportunities, which often requires the approval of Town voters.